

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,202	01/07/2004	Paul H. Edwards	016743-9002	5345
1131	1131 7590 11/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	BEST & FRIEDRICH MICHIGAN AVENUE	GREENHUT, CHARLES N		
SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60611-4212		3652	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/753,202	EDWARDS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charles N. Greenhut	3652			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)						
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/7/04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3652

#### l. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation from the relevant paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 112:

(2) The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention.

1.1. Claim 4 recites the limitation "said sliding collar" in line 3. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### II. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claim(s) 1-2, 4, 12-15, 20-24, 32, and 36-39 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by COHN (US 6,010,298 A).

1.1. With respect to claim 1, COHN discloses a ramp platform (28), carriage (at 62), a

motor (72), a drive shaft (74), drive pulley (76), belt (80), and a release assembly

(400).

1.2. With respect to claim 2, COHN additionally discloses a release cable (464), and a

release actuator (402).

Application/Control Number: 10/753,202

- 1.3. With respect to claim 4, COHN additionally discloses a first end pivotable and a second end engaged with a sliding collar (Fig. 39).
- 1.4. With respect to claim 12, COHN additionally discloses guide shafts (46), linear bearings (88) and pivot arms (84).
- 1.5. With respect to claim 13, COHN additionally discloses a member extending orthogonally between the pivot arms (28) and a torsion bar (82).
- 1.6. With respect to claim 14, COHN additionally discloses a torsion spring (301).
- 1.7. With respect to claim 15, COHN additionally discloses a bar (46) extending between the torsion bar and the end of the ramp providing a downward force against the end of the ramp (via 50).
- 1.8. With respect to claim 20, COHN additionally discloses the carriage having a profile approximately equal to that of the ramp (Fig. 9).
- 1.9. With respect to claim 21, COHN discloses providing a platform (28), carriage (at 62), a motor (72), a drive shaft (74), drive pulley (76), belt (80), and a release assembly (400).
- 1.10. With respect to claim 22, COHN discloses a release actuator (402).
- 1.11. With respect to claim 23, COHN additionally discloses pulling the release actuator with a cable (184).
- 1.12. With respect to claim 24, COHN additionally discloses pivoting the release actuator.
- 1.13. With respect to claim 32, COHN additionally discloses manually controlling the ramp when the pulley is disengaged from the motor.

Art Unit: 3652

1.14. With respect to claim 36, COHN additionally discloses providing pivot arms (84), linear bearings (88), and guide shafts (46)/(54).

- 1.15. With respect to claim 37, COHN additionally discloses a member extending orthogonally between the pivot arms (28) and a torsion bar (82).
- 1.16. With respect to claim 38, COHN additionally discloses a torsion spring (301).
- 1.17. With respect to claim 39, COHN additionally discloses providing a downward force against the end of the ramp (via 50).

## III. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 1. Claim(s) 3, 5-8 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over COHN (US 6,010,298 A) in view of LEWIS (US 6,602,041 B2).
  - 1.1. With respect to claim 3, COHN fails to teach a sliding collar mounted on the drive shaft and pin extending therefrom. LEWIS teaches a sliding collar mounted on the drive shaft (4152) and pin extending therefrom (4160). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the sliding collar and pin of LEWIS in order to selectively engage or disengage the motor.
  - 1.2. With respect to claim 5, COHN additionally teaches a keyed collar. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the clutch collar with a key

Art Unit: 3652

in order to torsionally secure the collar to the shaft thereby enabling the transmission

of torque.

1.3. With respect to claim 6, COHN fails to teach an opening for the passage of the pin.

LEWIS teaches an opening for the passage of the pin. It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the opening of LEWIS to allow

the pin to penetrably engage the collar thereby allowing for the transmission of

torque.

1.4. With respect to claim 7, COHN additionally teaches the pulley having an opening.

1.5. With respect to claim 8, COHN fails to teach a stop collar on the drive shaft and a

spring between the stop collar and sliding collar. LEWIS teaches a stop collar (4172)

and spring (4170).

2. Claim(s) 9-11, and 33-35 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

COHN (US 6,010,298 A) in view of HOLECEK (US 3,983,584 A).

2.1. With respect to claim 9, COHN additionally teaches a bearing block (116), and cable

(184). COHN fails to teach a crank. HOLECEK teaches a crank (31). It would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the crank of

HOLECEK in order to gain a mechanical advantage thereby facilitating manual

actuation of the ramp.

2.2. With respect to claim 10, COHN teaches a pulley. It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art to modify the pulley of COHN to communicate with the

crank handle to provide a mechanical advantage during manual actuation. COHN

fails to teach a handle. HOLECEK teaches a handle (49). It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3652

to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the handle of HOLECEK in order to facilitate gripping the crank.

- 2.3. With respect to claim 11, COHN fails to teach a shaft connected to the handle and a one way bearing. HOLECEK teaches a shaft (47) connected to the handle (49) and a one-way bearing (88). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the shaft and handle of HOLECEK in order to prevent unwanted movement of the ramp.
- 2.4. With respect to claim 33, COHN additionally teaches a manual control bearing block (116). COHN fails to teach translating with a manual control cable. HOLECEK teaches translating with a manual control cable (22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the cable of HOLECEK in order to obtain smooth controlled movement of the ramp.
- 2.5. With respect to claim 34, COHN teaches a pulley. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the pulley of COHN to communicate with the crank handle to provide a mechanical advantage during manual actuation. COHN fails to teach a crank handle. HOLECEK teaches a crank handle (49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the handle of HOLECEK in order to facilitate gripping the crank.
- 2.6. With respect to claim 35, COHN fails to teach allowing the pulley to rotate in only one direction. HOLECEK teaches allowing the pulley to rotate in only one direction.
  It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with

Application/Control Number: 10/753,202

Art Unit: 3652

the shaft and handle of HOLECEK in order to prevent unwanted movement of the

Page 7

ramp.

3. Claim(s) 16-19, 40, and 41-44 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over COHN (US 6,010,298 A) in view of GRANT (US 5,257,894 A).

3.1. With respect to claim 16, COHN additionally teaches a ramp flap and hinge (42).

COHN fails to teach a wheel attached to the flap. GRANT teaches a wheel attached to

the flap (68b). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

COHN with the wheel of GRANT to enable smooth actuation of the flap and protect

the ramp.

3.2. With respect to claim 17, COHN fails to teach a flap actuator bracket. GRANT

teaches a flap actuator bracket (68a). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art to modify COHN with the bracket of GRANT to enable smooth

actuation of the flap and protect the ramp.

3.3. With respect to claim 18, COHN additionally teaches side lips (108).

3.4. With respect to claim 19, COHN fails to teach a cutout. GRANT teaches a cutout

(28). While the cutout in GRANT receives the locking member not the wheel, the

wheel drops over the proximal edge of the ramp. It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art to modify GRANT with a cutout to receive the wheel as

opposed to an edge in order to more smoothly actuate the flap via movement of the

ramp.

3.5. With respect to claim 40, COHN additionally teaches a ramp flap and hinge (42).

COHN fails to teach a wheel attached to the flap. GRANT teaches a wheel attached to

Application/Control Number: 10/753,202

Art Unit: 3652

the flap (68b). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Page 8

COHN with the wheel of GRANT to enable smooth actuation of the flap and protect

the ramp.

3.6. With respect to claim 41, COHN fails to teach a flap actuator bracket. GRANT

teaches a flap actuator bracket (68a). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art to modify COHN with the bracket of GRANT to enable smooth

actuation of the flap and protect the ramp.

3.7. With respect to claim 42, COHN fails to teach a cutout. GRANT teaches a cutout

(28). While the cutout in GRANT receives the locking member not the wheel, the

wheel drops over the proximal edge of the ramp. It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art to modify GRANT with a cutout to receive the wheel as

opposed to an edge in order to more smoothly actuate the flap via movement of the

ramp.

3.8. With respect to claim 43, COHN teaches rotating a motor shaft (74), drive pulley

(76), belt (80), ramp carriage assembly (108), and pivoting the platform (Fig. 2).

COHN fails to teach dropping wheels of the flap into a cutout. GRANT teaches a

cutout (28). While the cutout in GRANT receives the locking member not the wheel,

the wheel drops over the proximal edge of the ramp. It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art to modify GRANT with a cutout to receive the wheel

as opposed to an edge in order to more smoothly actuate the flap via movement of the

ramp.

Art Unit: 3652

3.9. With respect to claim 44, COHN teaches rotating a motor shaft (74), drive pulley (76), belt (80), ramp carriage assembly (108), and pivoting the platform (Fig. 2). COHN brackets affixed to wheels on the ramp flap. GRANT teaches a bracket (68a) having wheels (68b) attached to the flap. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the bracket and wheels of GRANT to enable smooth actuation of the flap and protect the ramp.

- 4. Claim(s) 25-31 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over COHN (US 6,010,298 A) in view of HUNTER (US 1,024,580 A).
  - 4.1. With respect to claim 25, COHN fails to teach translating a sliding collar along the drive shaft. HUNTER teaches translating a sliding collar (16) along the drive shaft(4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the clutch of HUNTER in order to selectively engage or disengage the motor.
  - 4.2. With respect to claim 26, COHN fails to teach a pin engaging and disengaging the drive pulley. HUNTER teaches a pin (10) engaging and disengaging the drive pulley (2). Note: HUNTER teaches female member (2) being either the driving or driven member and of any suitable power transmission means. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the clutch of HUNTER in order to selectively engage or disengage the motor.
  - 4.3. With respect to claim 27, COHN additionally teaches a keyed collar (Fig. 7).
  - 4.4. With respect to claim 28 and 29, COHN fails to teach passing/removing a pin of the sliding collar through an opening in the keyed collar. HUNTER teaches passing/removing a pin (10) of the sliding collar (16) through an opening (9) in the

Art Unit: 3652

keyed collar (5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the clutch of HUNTER in order to selectively engage or disengage the motor.

- 4.5. With respect to claim 30, COHN additionally teaches a spring bias opposing the release actuator (460). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to put the spring bias on a collar in order to bias the clutch into an engaged position thereby preventing an unsafe condition.
- 4.6. With respect to claim 31, COHN fails to teach engaging the motor by inserting the pin. HUNTER teaches engaging the motor by inserting the pin (10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify COHN with the clutch pin of HUNTER in order to selectively engage or disengage the motor.

#### IV. Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles N. Greenhut whose telephone number is (571) 272-1517. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am 4:00pm EST.
- 3. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.
- 4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Art Unit: 3652

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600